

**Claim Amendments**

Please ~~cancel~~ without prejudice or disclaimer claims 289, 291, 292, 294, 308, 317, 321, 331, 335, 343, 345, 348, 362, 371, 375, 384, and 388.

Please ~~add~~ the following new claims 390-408.

390. (Newly Added) The sheet of printable media of claim 282 wherein the solid liner sheet extends the entire width of the facestock sheet construction.

391. (Newly Added) The sheet of printable media of claim 286 wherein the facestock sheet construction comprises a dry laminate facestock sheet construction.

392. (Newly Added) The sheet of printable media of claim 287 wherein the facestock through-cut lines are die cut lines.

E1 393. (Newly Added) The sheet of printable media of claim 290 wherein the matrix includes two columns of the printable media directly adjacent one another.

394. (Newly Added) The sheet of printable media of claim 282 wherein an edge of the facestock sheet construction is calendared.

395. (Newly Added) The sheet of printable media of claim 282 wherein the solid liner sheet is bonded to the back side of the facestock sheet construction without adhesive directly on the solid liner sheet.

396. (Newly Added) The sheet of printable media of claim 304 wherein the vertical cut lines include a left cut line positioned proximate to but spaced a distance inward from the left side edge, a right cut line positioned proximate to but spaced a distance inward from the right side edge, and a center cut line in the center of the facestock sheet construction.

397. (Newly Added) The sheet of printable media of claim 313 wherein the thin end defines a narrow exposed strip.

398. (Newly Added) The sheet of printable media of claim 320 wherein the calendared end defines a thin infeed end for feeding the sheet of printable media into the printer or copier.

399. (Newly Added) The sheet of printable media of claim 329 wherein the facestock sheet construction is a dry laminate facestock.

400. (Newly Added) The sheet of printable media of claim 282 wherein each of the printable media is a single-piece business card.

401. (Newly Added) The sheet of printable media of claim 342 wherein the facestock through-cut lines are die cut lines.

402. (Newly Added) The sheet of printable media of claim 290 wherein the matrix includes two columns of the printable media directly adjacent one another.

E1 403. (Newly Added) The sheet of printable media of claim 337 wherein the continuous liner sheet is bonded to the back side of the facestock sheet construction without adhesive directly on the liner sheet.

404. (Newly Added) The sheet of printable media of claim 358 wherein the vertical cut lines include a left cut line positioned proximate to but spaced a distance inward from the left side edge, a right cut line positioned proximate to but spaced a distance inward from the right side edge, and a center cut line in the center of the facestock sheet construction.

405. (Newly Added) The sheet of printable media of claim 367 wherein the thin end defines a narrow exposed strip.

406. (Newly Added) The sheet of printable media of claim 374 wherein the calendared end defines a thin infeed end for feeding the sheet of printable media into the printer or copier.

407. (Newly Added) The sheet of printable media of claim 382 wherein the facestock sheet construction is a dry laminate facestock.

408. (Newly Added) The sheet of printable media of claim 337 wherein each of the printable media is a single-piece business card.

---

**Election/Restriction Requirement**

Responsive to the Election/Restriction Requirement, Applicants elect with traverse Species A, M, P, T, X and AA. It is respectfully submitted that the Election/Restriction Requirement is improper. It appears that the Examiner has simply taken elements from the various dependent claims and contended that they represent different species, without relating them to the actual disclosed embodiments and despite overlapping subject matter. The Examiner stated that each of the species was patentably distinct. She, however, presented no reasons or grounds for this statement and thus has not met her *prima facie* case. As best understood, all claims currently pending read on each of the elected species, Applicants respectfully contend.

**Inventorship**

As petitioned in Applicants' Amendment and Petition dated June 27, 2002, please amend the inventorship entity to delete Arthur B. Moore as one of the inventors of the claimed invention.

**Request for Telephone Interview**

Counsel respectfully requests a telephone interview in the next couple of weeks with the Examiner and her SPE to discuss the two independent claims in view of the prior art in the file. It is respectfully requested that the Examiner review the prior art and these two claims before the interview so that the interview will be productive and advance the prosecution of this application. The Examiner stated in the last action that no first action on the merits has issued. This is incorrect, Applicants respectfully contend. This application (Serial No. 09/158,728) was filed on September 22, 1998 and a first action on the merits issued on July 5, 2001 and a second action on the merits issued on October 9, 2001.